

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/921,044	08/02/2001	Lewis S. Ostrover	3053-040	3053-040 7636	
22440	7590 09/07/2006		EXAMINER		
Q	RACKMAN & REIS	NGUYEN, HUY THANH			
270 MADISO 8TH FLOOR	ON AVENUE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
NEW YORK, NY 100160601			2621		
			DATE MAILED: 09/07/200	6	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)		
09/921,044	OSTROVER, LEWIS S.			
Examiner	Art Unit			
HUY T. NGUYEN	2621			

- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Examiner	Artonit				
	HUY T. NGUYEN	2621				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence add	iress			
THE REPLY FILED <u>22 August 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A						
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	n the same day as filing a Notice wing replies: (1) an amendment, otice of Appeal (with appeal fee)	of Appeal. To avoid aba affidavit, or other evide in compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)			
<ul> <li>a) The period for reply expires <u>4</u> months from the mailing date</li> <li>b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A</li> </ul>		rth in the final rejection, wh	siehovorie lator. In			
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I  Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or	ater than SIX MONTHS from the ma	iling date of the final reject	ion.			
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	06.07(f).					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amous shortened statutory period for reply or r than three months after the mailing	unt of the fee. The appropring the second of the final Off	riate extension fee ice action; or (2) as			
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complifing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e))	, to avoid dismissal of th	hs of the date of ne appeal. Since			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a br	ief, will not be entered b	ecause			
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co	ensideration and/or search (see N	NOTE below);	.00000			
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below		,				
(c) ☑ They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or			the issues for			
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally	rejected claims.				
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1	` ''					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		Compliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)						
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>			_			
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	⊠ will not be entered, or b) ☐ vided below or appended.	will be entered and an	explanation of			
Claim(s) allowed: <u>33 (objected for minor error)-47</u> .						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>48-57</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	ut before or on the date of filing a d sufficient reasons why the affic	Notice of Appeal will <u>no</u> lavit or other evidence i	ot be entered s necessary and			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under ap y and was not earlier presented.	peal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	ils to provide a 1).			
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n of the status of the claims afte	r entry is below or attac	hed.			
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER						
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	it does NOT place the applicatio	n in condition for allowa	nce because:			
<ol> <li>Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).</li> </ol>	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s), 8/29/	06 and 6/30/06				
13. ☐ Other:						

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The newly changed and deleted limitations in claims 33 and 44 make the claims broader that require further consideration and search.

Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statement filed 29 August 2006 fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.97(d) because it lacks a statement as specified in 37 CFR 1.97(e). It has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered.

HUY NGUYEN PRIMANA EXAMINER